Approved, SCAO

Information - Circuit court
Original complaint - Court
Warrant - Court

Bind over/Transfer - Circuit/Juvenile court
Complaint copy - Prosecutor
Complaint copy - Defendant/Attorney

STATE OF MICHIGAN 51st JUDICIAL DISTRICT 6th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	COMPLAINT FELONY	CASE NO. DISTRICT CIRCUIT

District Court ORI: MI- **Circuit Court ORI: MI-**

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN v	Defendant's name and address Mark Wellington Johnston 6837 Williams Lake Road, Waterford MI 48327	Victim or complainant Gary Ambrus, a.k.a. "gla924sem" Complaining witness Gary Ambrus, a.k.a. "gla924sem"
Co-defendant(s) Wesley Stansbury, Hausbrauen Motoren, HBM, et al.		Date: On or about 11 Aug 2006

City/Twp./Village	County in Michigan	Defendant TCN	Defendant CTN	Defendant SID	Defendant DOB
Wateford Township	Oakland				01/20/1972

Police agency report no.	Charge	Maximum penalty
	Felony Fraud	

Witnesses	☐ Oper./Chauf. ☐ CDL	Vehicle Type	Defendant DLN
Gary Ambrus, a.k.a. "gla924sem" Taylor MI gla924sem@juno.com			

STATE OF MICHIGAN, COUNTY OF Oakland .

The complaining witness says that on the date and at the location described, the defendant, contrary to law,

Amount of loss: $[unspecified]
Reference: The complainant contacted Mr. Beckett via email
Summary:
In early 2006, the defendant contacted Mr. Ambrus via email and "private message" through the internet-based discussion forum 924board.org. The defendant approached Mr. Ambrus with a "barter" offer valued at $[unspecified amount] to perform work on the complainant's Porsche 924 race car in exchange for the access to a roll cage in the complainant's vehicle. The defendant claimed he was going to reproduce the roll cage and sell it through his online storefront, hosted through eBay under the account name "hausbrauen".

The defendant removed the roll cage from the complainant's vehicle, and refused to execute the work. The defendant also refused to return the vehicle and the roll cage he had removed from the complainant's vehicle, and failed to respond to repeated request via e-mail, telephone, and so-called "private messages" via the internet-based discussion forum 924board.org.

While the complainant was successful in independently recovering his property, the defendant defrauded Mr. Ambrus for the barter work he had promised to perform.

The complaining witness asks that defendant be apprehended and dealt with according to law.

Warrant authorized on _____ by:
Date

_____
Prosecuting official

☐ Security for costs posted

_____
Complaining witness signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ .
Date

_____
Judge/Magistrate/Clerk Bar no.

MCL 764.1 et seq., MCL 766.1 et seq., MCL 767.1 et seq., MCR 6.110